

Thomas,Alison [PYR]

From: LaRusic,Adam [PYR]
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2004 10:49 AM
To: Waters,Susan [NCR]
Cc: Hobby,Bev [PYR]; Walls,Lisa [PYR]; McPherson,Morag [NCR]; Colodey,AI [PYR]
Subject: RE: Deltaport Track Recommendation Report - Scoping of Terminal 2

Sensitivity: Confidential

Hi Susan

As requested, please find attached the final published scoping document for Deltaport (English only). Please let me know if you need anything else.

Adam.



040723 Final
1g.pdf (328 KI)

Adam La Rusic, P.Eng
Sr Environmental Assessment Engineer
Environmental Protection Branch
Environment Canada
201-401 Burrard St.
Vancouver, BC V6C 3S5

Telephone: (604) 666-8342
Fax: (604) 666-7294
email: adam.larusic@ec.gc.ca

-----Original Message-----

From: Walls,Lisa [PYR]
Sent: Wednesday, October 06, 2004 10:08 AM
To: LaRusic,Adam [PYR]; McPherson,Morag [NCR]; Colodey,AI [PYR]
Cc: Waters,Susan [NCR]; Hobby,Bev [PYR]
Subject: RE: Deltaport Track Recommendation Report - Scoping of Terminal 2
Sensitivity: Confidential

Adam --

I had a brief discussion about this with Morag and Susan, during a break in today's NEACC meeting. I asked Susan if she could advise us on the CE and public consultation questions that have been raised in relation to the Deltaport Expansion Track Recommendation Report. Given that the recommendation is being drafted by DFO HQ, I thought it would make sense to involve Susan, with cc to Bev Hobby.

Susan will touch base with DFO legal and also look into how CEEA contemplates CE (s. 16.(1)(a) "projects or activities that have been or will be carried out") in respect of a planned project such as T2. Please forward a copy of the published scoping document to Susan Waters (cc Lisa, Bev, Morag) so that she can see how T2 is referenced.

Lisa

-----Original Message-----

From: LaRusic,Adam [PYR]
Sent: October 5, 2004 2:10 PM
To: McPherson,Morag [NCR]; Walls,Lisa [PYR]; Colodey,AI [PYR]
Subject: Deltaport Track Recommendation Report - Scoping of Terminal 2
Importance: High

Sensitivity: Confidential

We are still awaiting the Draft Track Recommendation Report from DFO HQ. However I heard from Jeff () Johansen (Major Projects Unit, DFO Pacific Region) that DFO HQ, upon legal review, is of the opinion that Terminal 2 (T2) should be scoped out of the cumulative effects assessment because the T2 project will undergo an assessment of its own when the project triggers CEEA. Note that this would entail going back out to public comment on the proposed scope of the project, since the original scoping document included T2.

I spoke with Georgina Naismith (CEAA, Vancouver), who told me that it was her (unofficial) opinion that Terminal 2 should be dealt with as a "separate stream" under the current scoping and that we shouldn't go back to a public comment period.

Environment Canada, as a Responsible Authority, will have to weigh in on this matter. This is just a heads-up (since we haven't received the Draft Track Recommendation from DFO yet) that we should start considering how we are going to approach this now. Do we agree with the DFO approach? Should we vet the Track Recommendation through our legal people?

Adam

Adam La Rusic, P.Eng
Sr Environmental Assessment Engineer
Environmental Protection Branch
Environment Canada
201-401 Burrard St.
Vancouver, BC V6C 3S5

Telephone: (604) 666-8342
Fax: (604) 666-7294
email: adam.larusic@ec.gc.ca